Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/370,770	CHUANG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David B. Lugo	2637	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperature All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED or other appropriate comm IGHTS. This application is	in this application. If not included nunication will be mailed in due co	ourse, <b>THIS</b>
1. $\boxtimes$ This communication is responsive to <u>amendment received</u>	6/14/04.		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-12 and 16-19</u> .			
3. $\boxtimes$ The drawings filed on <u>10/28/02, 4/15/03 and 6/14/04</u> are a	ccepted by the Examiner.		
<ul> <li>4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority urea) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>Certified copies not received:</li> </ol> </li> <li>Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE"</li> </ul>	e been received. e been received in Applicat cuments have been receive	on Noed in this national stage applicatio	
noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.	1ENT of this application.		
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give	itted. Note the attached EXes reason(s) why the oath o	(AMINER'S AMENDMENT or NO or declaration is deficient.	TICE OF
6. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS ( as "replacement sheets") mus  (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers  1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date  (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date  Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the state of the paper No./Mail Date	son's Patent Drawing Revie s Amendment / Comment o .84(c)) should be written on	or in the Office action of the drawings in the front (not the b	ack) of
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo- attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	sit of BIOLOGICAL MAT	ERIAL must be submitted. No	te the
<ul> <li>Attachment(s)</li> <li>1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date</li></ul>	6. ☐ Interview S Paper No 8), 7. ☐ Examiner's	nformal Patent Application (PTO- Summary (PTO-413), ./Mail Date : s Amendment/Comment s Statement of Reasons for Allows	ŕ

Application/Control Number: 09/370,770

Art Unit: 2637

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 1. Claims 1-12 and 16-19 are allowed.
- 2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Furuskär et al. teach a method for performing wireless link adaptation where a threshold value corresponding to each link adaptation mode is determined, a signal quality value is measured at a receiver for selection and adaptation of the best link adaptation mode, but does not disclose that the threshold values are determined using a retransmission model of the environment, as recited in claim 1. In addition, the prior art of record fails to disclose determining whether a signal quality value is less than a no-transmission threshold, which corresponds to lowest signal quality that equals a diminution in signal quality due to retransmission plus signal quality assuming no retransmissions, and either ceasing transmission or performing link adaptation based on the comparison, as recited in claims 8, 16 and 18. Further, the prior art of record does not disclose determining if the signal quality value exceeds the no-transmission threshold, performing link adaptation in accordance with an algorithm that takes into account diminution in signal to interference ratio due to retransmissions, as recited in claim 19.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Art Unit: 2637

## Drawings

3. The replacement drawing sheet of Figure 1 was received on 6/14/04. The replacement drawing sheet is acceptable.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **David B. Lugo** whose telephone number is **(703)** 305-0954 prior to 8/24/04, and will be **(571)** 272-3043 after 8/24/04.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Jay Patel**, can be reached at **(703) 308-7728**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

dl 8/12/04

MAI TRAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER SULVY